

Multiple Sclerosis

<http://msj.sagepub.com>

Association between MRI parameters and the MS severity scale: a 12 year follow-up study

A Minneboo, BMJ Uitdehaag, P Jongen, H Vrenken, DL Knol, MAA van Walderveen, CH Polman, JA Castelijns and F Barkhof

Mult Scler 2009; 15; 632

DOI: 10.1177/1352458509102617

The online version of this article can be found at:
<http://msj.sagepub.com/cgi/content/abstract/15/5/632>

Published by:



<http://www.sagepublications.com>

Additional services and information for *Multiple Sclerosis* can be found at:

Email Alerts: <http://msj.sagepub.com/cgi/alerts>

Subscriptions: <http://msj.sagepub.com/subscriptions>

Reprints: <http://www.sagepub.com/journalsReprints.nav>

Permissions: <http://www.sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav>

Citations <http://msj.sagepub.com/cgi/content/refs/15/5/632>

Association between MRI parameters and the MS severity scale: a 12 year follow-up study

A Minneboo¹, BMJ Uitdehaag^{2,3}, P Jongen⁴, H Vrenken¹, DL Knol³, MAA van Walderveen⁵, CH Polman², JA Castelijns¹ and F Barkhof¹

Background Several magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) parameters are known to be associated with short-term outcome in multiple sclerosis (MS) patients. MS-related disability typically progresses over decades, stressing the need for longer follow-up studies. Until now, these studies are relatively sparse and, therefore, the predictive value of MRI parameters for clinical disability remains largely unknown.

Objective To assess the predictive value of brain MRI parameters, which are obtained during the first 3.3 years of the study for overall disease severity as measured by the MS Severity Score (MSSS) after 12.2 years follow-up.

Methods Forty-six MS patients were included in the study. MRI parameters included both lesion loads and atrophy measures. Average and change parameters were calculated for MRI parameters and subsequently used as independent variables in regression models, while MSSS was the dependent variable.

Results Follow-up (FU) was obtained in 43/46 patients (94%) and median expanded disability status scale (EDSS) score increased significantly from 2.5 to 4.0. At last FU median MSSS was 4.3 (range 2.2–6.9). In univariate analyses, both change and cross-sectional T1-hypointense lesion load and ventricular atrophy measures were associated with MSSS. A multiple regression model included the change parameter of hypointense T1-lesion load (BHLL). This model explained 20% of variance in MSSS, which increased to 34% when type of disease (relapsing remitting or secondary progressive), age, and sex were entered additionally.

Conclusion MRI measures of axonal loss are associated with higher overall disease severity in MS patients. *Multiple Sclerosis* 2009; 15: 632–637. <http://msj.sagepub.com>

Key words: disability; longitudinal; MRI; multiple sclerosis; prognosis

Introduction

In patients with multiple sclerosis (MS), prediction of long-term outcome in terms of clinical disability is important for both patients and clinicians. Natural history studies have identified clinical parameters that predict disability at long-term follow-up (FU) [1–3]. Although these clinical parameters do have modest prognostic value for the development of clinical disability later in the disease, the highly variable disease course and outcome as measured by

the expanded disability status scale (EDSS) [4] still remain largely unpredictable. Compared to data known from average disease progression in large groups of patients, models using clinical parameters refine the prediction of the time to reach EDSS 6 for the individual patient by only 30–40% [5]. Substantial variation remains unexplained by those models, stressing the need for additional (paraclinical) parameters associated with progression of disability. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) may be valuable for this purpose as it plays an important role in

¹Department of Radiology, MS Center Amsterdam, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

²Department of Neurology, MS Center Amsterdam, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

³Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

⁴Multiple Sclerosis Centre Nijmegen, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

⁵Department of Radiology, LUMC, Leiden, The Netherlands

Correspondence to: A Minneboo, VU University Medical Center, Dept. of Radiology, Post box 7057, 1007 MB Amsterdam, The Netherlands. Email: a.minneboo@vumc.nl

Received 7 August 2008; revised 1 November 2008; accepted 15 December 2008

the most recent diagnostic criteria and is widely used as a diagnostic tool for MS [6].

In most patients, typical MS lesions are seen on T2-weighted images and MRI helps to predict conversion to clinically definite MS (CDMS) [7]. Studies that follow patients with a clinically isolated syndrome (CIS) from onset describe significant associations between lesion loads and disability at FU [8,9]. In the more advanced disease stage of CDMS, both cross-sectional and longitudinal studies show moderate associations between lesion loads on T2- or T1-weighted images and EDSS [10–15]. Compared to lesion loads, brain atrophy seems to be associated more strongly with (future) disability [13,16–19]. There is only limited data on the clinico-radiological association in the long-term, since only moderate duration of FU is available for most studies – this relationship is, therefore, largely unknown [20,21]. A long-term FU study on patients that presented with CIS found that associations between change in EDSS and change in T2-lesion load weaken over time [20]. Concern rises when translating these results to CDMS patients: are the already weak associations between MRI parameters and disability still valid at long-term FU?

The current study presents the 12-year clinical FU of a cohort from which two previous clinical and MRI observations have been reported previously [15]. Our aim was to develop a multiparametric model with MRI parameters obtained during the initial short FU period that are most strongly associated with overall disease severity as expressed by the MS Severity Score (MSSS) based on assessments at long-term FU.

Methods

Patients

The initial cohort [15] included 46 patients (28 women) with CDMS according to the Poser [22] criteria. Baseline observations were performed between 1989 and 1991. After a median period of 40 months, a second observation (first FU) was performed according to the same protocol. Clinical data including age at onset, disease duration at entry, disability (EDSS) at entry and at first FU (at the time of the second MRI), and type of disease course: relapsing-remitting (RR) or SP [15] were available. Data on the use of disease-modifying therapy (DMT) beyond the first FU visit were not systematically available – at the time of the first FU visit DMT were not available in the Netherlands. For long-term clinical observation (last FU), patients were contacted and hospital charts were reviewed for disability on the basis of EDSS. For 10 patients

no recent hospital charts were available and EDSS was obtained by phone using a standard questionnaire [24]. To classify overall disease severity, we used the MSSS, in which EDSS-levels are adjusted for disease duration [5,25].

Magnetic resonance imaging

All patients had two scans: at baseline and at first FU. Scans were performed on a 0.6T machine (Technicare, Solon, Ohio) using an identical protocol. Accurate repositioning was performed using internal landmarks. Axial T2- and T1-weighted spin-echo images (2755/60,120/2 and 450/28/4 [repetition time/echo time/excitations]) were obtained. Each series consisted of 19 slices with a slice thickness of 5 mm (1.25 mm gap), covering the whole brain. The T1-weighted spin-echo sequence at baseline was performed before and 5 to 10 min after the administration of 0.1 mmol/kg gadolinium-DTPA. At first FU no gadolinium was administered.

Gadolinium-enhancing lesion loads (GdLL, only baseline), hypointense T1-lesion loads (BHLL), and hyperintense T2-lesion loads (T2LL) were quantified by a single reader using an in-house developed, semi-automated thresholding technique. Baseline and first FU scans were evaluated during the same session. Lesion loads have been reported previously [15]. Additionally, we determined parenchymal and ventricular volumes on T1-weighted images. Intracranial volumes were determined on corresponding T2-weighted images. To control for differences in skull size, brain parenchymal fraction (BPF), and ventricular fraction (VF) were calculated by dividing parenchymal (BPF) and ventricular (VF) volumes by the intracranial volume [26].

Statistical analysis, descriptive

Because most data were not normally distributed, medians and interquartile range (IQR) were used to describe the data. The skewed distribution also necessitated the use of nonparametrical statistical tests: Mann-Whitney *U*-test was used to evaluate differences in MRI parameters between subgroups when lowest and highest quartiles of MSSS at last FU are compared. Longitudinal MRI data were normalized for duration of FU between first and second MRI. To describe correlations between (changes in) MRI and clinical parameters, Spearman rank correlation coefficients were used.

Statistical analysis, multiple regression model

To find the MRI parameter(s) that are most strongly associated with disease severity at last FU, a model was composed using a forward selection approach. MSSS as determined at last FU was the dependent variable. Because of the relatively small group size, summary parameters were used to optimize model building. This approach decreases the number of independent parameters and enables the use of information at baseline, first FU, and change parameters without loss of statistical power. The effects of high correlations between baseline and first FU parameters are also avoided this way. Average values were calculated for T2LL, BHLL, BPF, and VF (baseline value + first FU value/disease duration at baseline (years) + disease duration at first FU [years]). Furthermore, a change parameter was calculated for T2LL, BHLL, BPF, and VF (first FU value – baseline value/interval (years) between baseline and first FU). Performance of the model is reported by percentage of explained variance. All statistical procedures were performed using SPSS 12.0 for Windows.

Results

Clinical parameters

During long-term FU, two patients died due to causes not related to MS and were excluded from the analysis. One patient was lost to FU and had to be excluded. Characteristics at baseline and first FU were not different between excluded and reported patients. Data on the remaining 43 patients (94% adherence rate) are presented here. At baseline, median age was 38 years (Table 1). Twenty-seven patients had RRMS, the other 16 SPMS at interception. Median EDSS score at baseline and at the first FU was 2.5, and increased significantly to 4.0 (IQR 3.5–6.5) after an average of 12.2 years of FU, with death due to MS (EDSS 10) occurring in two patients. Patients with SPMS had significant higher MSSS compared to RRMS patients: 7.0 (IQR 3.3–9.8) compared to 3.4 (1.8–5.2), $P = 0.008$.

MRI parameters

All patients had hyperintense lesions consistent with white matter MS lesions on the T2-weighted images. T2LL at baseline was highly variable (IQR 4.5–32.4 ml) (Table 2). At baseline, a strong correlation between BHLL and T2LL was found ($r = 0.83$, $P < 0.001$). At first FU, median T2LL increased to 22.2 ml. In all but two patients, an accumulation

Table 1 Clinical characteristics

Measurement	Group ($n = 43$)
Duration interval baseline – follow-up 1 (years)	3.3 (3.2–3.6)
Duration interval follow-up 1 – follow-up 2 (years)	8.6 (6.9–10.3)
Duration interval baseline – follow-up 2 (years)	12.2 (10.4–13.8)
Type MS at baseline (RR:SP)	27:16
Age at baseline (years)	38 (33–44)
Disease duration at baseline (years)	5.0 (2.4–7.3)
Sex (female:male)	27:16
EDSS at baseline	2.5 (2.0–3.5)
EDSS at follow-up 1	2.5 (1.5–5.0)
EDSS at follow-up 2	4.0 (3.5–6.5)
MSSS (based on data from follow-up 2)	4.3 (2.2–6.9)

Displayed values are medians, interquartile range between parentheses (25%–75%).

RR, relapsing remitting; SP, secondary progressive; EDSS, expanded disability status scale; MSSS, MS Severity Score.

of T2LL was observed at a median rate of 1.4 ml/year (Table 2) – BHLL had increased to 6.4 ml at a median rate of 0.5 ml/year. The change in BHLL was correlated with BHLL at baseline ($r = 0.68$, $P < 0.001$). Changes in T2LL were moderately associated with T2LL at baseline ($r = 0.53$, $P < 0.001$). BHLL was associated with VF at both baseline ($r = 0.63$, $P < 0.001$) and first FU ($r = 0.74$, $P < 0.001$). Median BPF had decreased at first FU from 0.830 to 0.820. VF increased most in patients with the highest quartile MSSS (from 0.0267 to 0.0357); in this group the baseline and FU VF was also statistically significantly higher compared to patients with the lowest quartile MSSS: 0.0185 compared to 0.0267 ($P = 0.034$) and at first FU 0.0162 compared to 0.0357 ($P = 0.012$). Both baseline and first FU-lesion loads (T2LL and BHLL) were not statistically significantly different between the highest and the lowest MSSS quartile groups. Accumulation of BHLL was higher in the group with

Table 2 MRI characteristics

Measurement	Group ($n = 43$)
T2LL baseline (ml)	14.1 (4.5–32.4)
BHLL baseline (ml)	3.4 (0.8–11.2)
Enhancement (yes/no)	18/25
BPF baseline	0.830 (0.802–0.865)
VF baseline	0.0226 (0.0159–0.0327)
T2LL follow-up 1 (ml)	22.2 (7.6–46.7)
BHLL follow-up 1 (ml)	6.4 (1.3–15.6)
BPF follow-up 1	0.820 (0.789–0.862)
VF follow-up 1	0.0224 (0.0153–0.0331)
Change in T2LL/year (ml/year)	1.4 (0.6 ; 2.8)
Change in BHLL/year (ml/year)	0.5 (0.1–1.3)
Change in BPF/year (*100)	–0.343 (–0.923–0.000)
Change in VF/year (*100)	–0.0028 (–0.1461–0.0073)

Displayed values are medians, interquartile range between parentheses (25%–75%).

T2LL, hyperintense T2-lesion load; BHLL, hypointense T1-lesion load; BPF, brain parenchymal fraction; VF, ventricular fraction.

highest quartile MSSS compared to the lowest MSSS quartile group (median 1.2 ml/year compared to 0.2 ml/year, $P = 0.043$). No statistically significant differences in accumulation of T2LL were found between the two groups.

Linear regression models

In the univariate analysis, both change and cross-sectional lesion (BHLL) and atrophy (VF) measures were associated with MSSS (Table 3). To create a model with the MRI parameters that are most strongly associated with disease severity represented by MSSS score, the following summary parameters were used in a forward selection procedure: GdLL (baseline only), average, and change of T2LL, BHLL, BPF, and VF. The final MRI model contained the change parameter of BHLL only. This model was uncorrected for clinical parameters and explained 20% of the variance in MSSS. After inclusion of MSSS at baseline or of type of disease course (RR or SP), age and sex in the model, the change parameter of BHLL survived in the forward analysis. The percentage of explained variance in MSSS increased to 28% (MSSS baseline) and 34%. When annualized EDSS between first and last FU was used as dependent variable, the model also included the change parameter of BHLL and explained 23% of the variance. Adding the change in EDSS between baseline and first FU did not change the model.

Discussion

Studies concerning associations between changes in lesion loads and concomitant disability changes or changes in disability at long-term FU are sparse in MS. Most of these studies included patients directly

from onset and have reported moderate associations between (change in) MRI and disability [20]. A study on these associations where patients were followed from onset of the disease showed associations to decrease in strength over time [20]. A possible explanation could be the noise introduced due to the large number of patients lost to FU. On the other hand, a recent study reported the increasing predictive value of conventional MRI measures for clinical outcome during (13 years) FU [21].

The current study included MS patients with varying disease duration that were followed-up for a median of 12 years, enabling classification of disease severity (MSSS) in a reliable way. Despite being small, our study had the benefit of having a low number of patients that were lost to FU (6%). Main outcome is that in a group of CDMS patients with varying disease duration, MRI parameters reflecting axonal loss (BHLL and ventricular widening) are associated with the higher disease severity at long-term FU. Change in BHLL was included in the final multivariate logistic regression model. Recently, stratification of patients was proposed using measures similar to those included in our final model: using black hole fraction as measure for focal brain tissue destruction. That model also included atrophy as measure for diffuse brain tissue destruction, a measure that was associated with MSSS in our study as well [27]. Their longitudinal observations showed these stratified groups to be distinct at baseline and FU and thus not to represent different stages of the disease.

Brain atrophy is present from the earliest stages of MS. Besides cross-sectional associations between atrophy and clinical status, as measured by the EDSS or scales of cognitive function, there are numerous short-term FU studies that show these associations may also exist longitudinally [20]. Previous studies extended these findings with FU durations of 6 and 8 years [13,16], and recently a 13-year FU study was published [21]. No atrophy measures (independently) made it into our final model, but the univariate analysis shows these measures still remain important during long-term FU and confirms the unfavorable impact of atrophy on disease progression.

BHLL is thought to represent focal brain tissue destruction and axonal loss more specifically than T2LL [12,28]. Therefore, it is not surprising that associations with clinical status improve in most studies when BHLL is used rather than T2LL. This applies to cross-sectional and short-term longitudinal studies [13–15,28,29]. Our observations suggest that the impact of BHLL on disease progression is also valid during long-term FU. Several studies reported on the associations between BHLL and (central) brain atrophy measures like VF in the various stages of the disease [30–33]. It is thought that

Table 3 Linear regression: univariate analysis

Parameter	Beta	P-value	Adjusted r2
T2LL baseline (ml)	0.26	0.092	0.05
BHLL baseline (ml)	0.40	0.008	0.14
BPF baseline	-0.18	0.275	0.03
VF baseline	0.31	0.063	0.07
T2LL follow-up 1 (ml)	0.29	0.064	0.06
BHLL follow-up 1 (ml)	0.44	0.004	0.17
BPF follow-up 1	-0.21	0.211	0.02
VF follow-up 1	0.42	0.010	0.15
Change in T2LL/year (ml/year)	0.26	0.087	0.05
Change in BHLL/year (ml/year)	0.44	0.003	0.18
Change in BPF/year	0.02	0.920	0.00
Change in VF/year	0.35	0.033	0.10

T2LL, hyperintense T2-lesion load; BHLL, hypointense T1-lesion load; BPF, brain parenchymal fraction; VF, ventricular fraction. Adjusted r2, explained fraction of variance in MSSS at last follow-up.

destructive T1-hypointense lesions in the periventricular regions lead to local axonal loss and decreasing volume of brain tissue with subsequently enlarging ventricles. In some studies, BHLL and disease severity were not associated [9]. This may depend on the disease duration, overall disease severity, and prevalence of T1-hypointense lesions in the studied population: the present study included patients with well-established MS with relatively high BHLL.

A limitation of (longitudinal) studies on differences in the progression of disability between groups of patients is the lack of reliable classifying methods. The EDSS is the most widely used method to classify MS-related disability. Several limitations, including the lack of correction for disease duration and its nonlinearity, have been reported [34,35]. Regarding these limitations, annualized change in EDSS was not used as the major outcome measure for disability during FU. The retrospective character of the study with EDSS measured at only three time-points also precluded the use of the exact time to reach EDSS 4 (or other values of the EDSS). Instead, we used the MSSS to classify disease severity: the MSSS provides a tool to compare the severity of the disease course between patients and is based on EDSS score adjusted for disease duration.

Weaknesses of the study can be divided in both MRI (performed at only 0.6T [at that time state-of-the-art], impossibility to use more sophisticated brain volume change methods rather than the more 'noisy' BPF and VF measures, the absence of unenhanced T1-weighted images at baseline and the absence of enhanced T1-weighted images at FU precluding the differentiation between acute and chronic black holes and possibly introducing small atrophy measurement errors) and clinical limitations (partial use of EDSS by phone, small group size and limited information on the use of DMT and relapse rate). Furthermore, including patients with varying disease course and duration may have weakened our results. Use of DMT could not be included in the analysis, this may have affected our results: DMT is known to affect the progression on MRI but our MRI measurements were obtained before DMT became available; however, some patients started using DMT between the first and last FU, this may have affected our results since some effects of DMT on long-term disability have been reported [36].

In conclusion, the findings of our study support the idea that MRI measures of axonal loss are associated with clinical status at long-term FU.

Acknowledgments

A. Minneboo is supported by a grant (98-348 MS) of the Dutch Foundation for the Support of MS

Research. The MS Center of the VU University Medical Center is supported by the Dutch Foundation for the Support of MS Research and VU University Medical Center. We thank Drs. Hoogervorst and Kalkers for their work on obtaining the EDSS-by-phone.

References

1. Confavreux, C, Vukusic, S, Adeleine, P. Early clinical predictors and progression of irreversible disability in multiple sclerosis: an amnesic process. *Brain* 2003; **126**: 770-782.
2. Myhr, KM, Riise, T, Vedeler, C, *et al.* Disability and prognosis in multiple sclerosis: demographic and clinical variables important for the ability to walk and awarding of disability pension. *Mult Scler* 2001; **7**: 59-65.
3. Runmarker, B, Andersen, O. Prognostic factors in a multiple sclerosis incidence cohort with twenty-five years of follow-up. *Brain* 1993; **116**(pt 1): 117-134.
4. Kurtzke, JF. Rating neurologic impairment in multiple sclerosis: an expanded disability status scale (EDSS). *Neurology* 1983; **33**: 1444-1452.
5. Weinschenker, BG. The natural history of multiple sclerosis. *Neurol Clin* 1995; **13**: 119-146.
6. McDonald, WI, Compston, A, Edan, G, *et al.* Recommended diagnostic criteria for multiple sclerosis: guidelines from the International Panel on the diagnosis of multiple sclerosis. *Ann Neurol* 2001; **50**: 121-127.
7. Korteweg, T, Tintore, M, Uitdehaag, B, *et al.* MRI criteria for dissemination in space in patients with clinically isolated syndromes: a multicentre follow-up study. *Lancet Neurol* 2006; **5**: 221-227.
8. Fisniku, LK, Brex, PA, Altmann, DR, *et al.* Disability and T2 MRI lesions: a 20-year follow-up of patients with relapse onset of multiple sclerosis. *Brain* 2008; **131**: 808-817.
9. Minneboo, A, Barkhof, F, Polman, CH, Uitdehaag, BM, Knol, DL, Castelijns, JA. Infratentorial lesions predict long-term disability in patients with initial findings suggestive of multiple sclerosis. *Arch Neurol* 2004; **61**: 217-221.
10. Filippi, M, Horsfield, MA, Tofts, PS, Barkhof, F, Thompson, AJ, Miller, DH. Quantitative assessment of MRI lesion load in monitoring the evolution of multiple sclerosis. *Brain* 1995; **118**(pt 6): 1601-1612.
11. Miller, DH, Grossman, RI, Reingold, SC, McFarland, HF. The role of magnetic resonance techniques in understanding and managing multiple sclerosis. *Brain* 1998; **121**(pt 1): 3-24.
12. van Walderveen, MA, Kamphorst, W, Scheltens, P, *et al.* Histopathologic correlate of hypointense lesions on T1-weighted spin-echo MRI in multiple sclerosis. *Neurology* 1998; **50**: 1282-1288.
13. Paolillo, A, Pozzilli, C, Giugni, E, *et al.* A 6-year clinical and MRI follow-up study of patients with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis treated with Interferon-beta. *Eur J Neurol* 2002; **9**: 645-655.
14. Sailer, M, Losseff, NA, Wang, L, Gawne-Cain, ML, Thompson, AJ, Miller, DH. T1 lesion load and cerebral atrophy as a marker for clinical progression in patients with multiple sclerosis. A prospective 18 months follow-up study. *Eur J Neurol* 2001; **8**: 37-42.
15. Truyen, L, van Waesberghe, JH, van Walderveen, MA, *et al.* Accumulation of hypointense lesions ("black holes") on T1 spin-echo MRI correlates with disease

- progression in multiple sclerosis. *Neurology* 1996; **47**: 1469–1476.
16. Fisher, E, Rudick, RA, Simon, JH, *et al.* Eight-year follow-up study of brain atrophy in patients with MS. *Neurology* 2002; **59**: 1412–1420.
 17. Bermel, RA, Sharma, J, Tjoa, CW, Puli, SR, Bakshi, R. A semiautomated measure of whole-brain atrophy in multiple sclerosis. *J Neurol Sci* 2003; **208**: 57–65.
 18. Dastidar, P, Heinonen, T, Lehtimäki, T, *et al.* Volumes of brain atrophy and plaques correlated with neurological disability in secondary progressive multiple sclerosis. *J Neurol Sci* 1999; **165**: 36–42.
 19. Losseff, NA, Wang, L, Lai, HM, *et al.* Progressive cerebral atrophy in multiple sclerosis. A serial MRI study. *Brain* 1996; **119**: 2009–2019.
 20. Brex, PA, Ciccirelli, O, O’Riordan, JI, Sailer, M, Thompson, AJ, Miller, DH. A longitudinal study of abnormalities on MRI and disability from multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 2002; **346**: 158–164.
 21. Rudick, RA, Lee, JC, Simon, J, Fisher, E. Significance of T2 lesions in multiple sclerosis: A 13-year longitudinal study. *Ann Neurol* 2006; **60**: 236–242.
 22. Poser, CM, Paty, DW, Scheinberg, L, *et al.* New diagnostic criteria for multiple sclerosis: guidelines for research protocols. *Ann Neurol* 1983; **13**: 227–231.
 23. Lublin, FD, Reingold, SC. Defining the clinical course of multiple sclerosis: results of an international survey. National Multiple Sclerosis Society (USA) Advisory Committee on Clinical Trials of New Agents in Multiple Sclerosis. *Neurology* 1996; **46**: 907–911.
 24. Lechner-Scott, J, Kappos, L, Hofman, M, *et al.* Can the Expanded Disability Status Scale be assessed by telephone? *Mult Scler* 2003; **9**: 154–159.
 25. Roxburgh, RH, Seaman, SR, Masterman, T, *et al.* Multiple Sclerosis Severity Score: using disability and disease duration to rate disease severity. *Neurology* 2005; **64**: 1144–1151.
 26. Kalkers, NF, Ameziane, N, Bot, JC, Minneboo, A, Polman, CH, Barkhof, F. Longitudinal brain volume measurement in multiple sclerosis: rate of brain atrophy is independent of the disease subtype. *Arch Neurol* 2002; **59**: 1572–1576.
 27. Bielekova, B, Kadom, N, Fisher, E, *et al.* MRI as a marker for disease heterogeneity in multiple sclerosis. *Neurology* 2005; **65**: 1071–1076.
 28. van Waesberghe, JH, Kamphorst, W, De Groot, CJ, *et al.* Axonal loss in multiple sclerosis lesions: magnetic resonance imaging insights into substrates of disability. *Ann Neurol* 1999; **46**: 747–754.
 29. van Walderveen, MA, Barkhof, F, Hommes, OR, *et al.* Correlating MRI and clinical disease activity in multiple sclerosis: relevance of hypointense lesions on short-TR/short-TE (T1-weighted) spin-echo images. *Neurology* 1995; **45**: 1684–1690.
 30. Kalkers, NF, Vrenken, H, Uitdehaag, BM, Polman, CH, Barkhof, F. Brain atrophy in multiple sclerosis: impact of lesions and of damage of whole brain tissue. *Mult Scler* 2002; **8**: 410–414.
 31. Paolillo, A, Pozzilli, C, Gasperini, C, *et al.* Brain atrophy in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: relationship with ‘black holes’, disease duration and clinical disability. *J Neurol Sci* 2000; **174**: 85–91.
 32. Dalton, CM, Brex, PA, Jenkins, R, *et al.* Progressive ventricular enlargement in patients with clinically isolated syndromes is associated with the early development of multiple sclerosis. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2002; **73**: 141–147.
 33. Dalton, CM, Miszkiel, KA, O’Connor, PW, Plant, GT, Rice, GP, Miller, DH. Ventricular enlargement in MS: one-year change at various stages of disease. *Neurology* 2006; **66**: 693–698.
 34. Hobart, J, Freeman, J, Thompson, A. Kurtzke scales revisited: the application of psychometric methods to clinical intuition. *Brain* 2000; **123**(pt 5): 1027–1040.
 35. Whitaker, JN, McFarland, HF, Rudge, P, Reingold, SC. Outcomes assessment in multiple sclerosis clinical trials: a critical analysis. *Mult Scler* 1995; **1**: 37–47.
 36. Kappos, L, Traboulsee, A, Constantinescu, C, *et al.* Long-term subcutaneous interferon beta-1a therapy in patients with relapsing-remitting MS. *Neurology* 2006; **67**: 944–953.